BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		:
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/898,736 07/23/97 COPPENS	T	61944
□		EXAMINER
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY	SHI	ERRER, C
SUITE 900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
135 SOUTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO IL 60603-4277	170	5 1
	DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/898,736

Applicant(s)

Coppens et al.

Examiner

Curtis E. Sherrer

Group Art Unit 1761



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 23, 1</u>	1997
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qu	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed wayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication	ion is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever n. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the b). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
•	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Pate	ent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is.	/are objected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED	D copies of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/	/Serial Number)
received in this national stage application	n from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449), Paper No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	DTO 040
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	•
SEE OFFICE AC	TION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 to 9, 13 to 24, and 27 to 37, drawn to a process for preparing a malted cereal, classified in class 426, subclass 28.
 - II. Claims 10, 25, and 38 to 42, drawn to a malted cereal product, classified in class 426, subclass 618.
 - III. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a combination of cereal and activated spores, classified in class 426, subclass 618.
 - IV. Claim 26, drawn to the use of activated spores, classified in class 435, subclass242.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, e.g., the product can be obtained by merely adding the claimed enzymes rather than the spores.

Art Unit: 1761

. , ""

3. Inventions II and III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions they have different effects.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: If applicants choose to prosecute the claims of Group II, the are required to elect one of the species disclosed in (a)Claims 32 and 33 or (b)Claim 34.
- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed species</u> for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 31 is generic.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Art Unit: 1761

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis Sherrer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

Art Unit: 1761

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Lacey, can be reached on (703)-308-3535. The **fax phone number** for this Group is (703)-305-3602.

14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer

June 15, 1998